

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,924		12/04/2001	Paul K. Rosenberg	9775-0048-999	7825
22913	7590	7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER	
WORKMA	N NYD	EGGER (F/K/A W	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO		
SEELEY) 60 EAST SO	оитн тв	MPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGL			2814		
SALT LAK	E CITY,	UT 84111	DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4	· son				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/005,924	ROSENBERG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alonzo Chambliss	2827				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailingled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	une 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44	44-2						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 .□	(DTO 440)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The restriction requirement filed on 3/3/04 has been withdrawn and a new restriction requirement is set forth below.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of optoelectronic assembly package:

- A1. An optoelectronic package with first, second, and third apertures in the base with first, second, and third traces.
- A2. An optoelectronic package without first, second, and third apertures in the base with first, second, and third traces.
- A3. An optoelectronic package with a plurality of transistor outline packages.
- A4. An optoelectronic package with a light source housed in a transmitter outline package and a detector housed in a receiver transistor outline package that is interconnected to a receiver circuit.

If applicant selects species A1 and A2 applicant must further elect from species of type of ground:

- B1. A ground ring that is an integral part of the base.
- B2. A ground ring that is a metal ring that is separate from the base.
- B3. A ground slug on the base.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,924

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (571) 272-1927.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956

Alonzo Chambliss
Primary Patent Evan

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2827

AC/August 8, 2004